Calibrating the Size of Complicated Quotients

J.Holshouser

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of South Alabama

52nd Spring Topology and Dynamical Systems Conference, 2018

J.Holshouser

Calibrating the Size of Complicated Quotients 1 / 19

The Big Picture

For E a Borel equivalence relation, we have the following picture.



J.Silver(1980) and L.Harrington-A.Kechris-A.Louveau(1990)

- (Silver) If E is a co-analytic equivalence relation on ℝ, then either ℝ/E is countable or there is a continuous map φ : ℝ → ℝ so that if φ(x) ≠ φ(y), then x and y are E-inequivalent. In other words, ℝ continuously embeds into ℝ/E.
- (Harrington-Kechris-Louveau) If E is a Borel equivalence relation, then either ℝ/E embeds into ℝ or ℝ/Q embeds into ℝ/E (in the same sense as the first bullet point).

The proof uses Σ_1^1 to classify the quotients.

Combinatorics of ${\mathbb R}$

In descriptive set theory, $\mathbb R$ is replaced with $\omega^\omega.$ Closed sets are formed from the branches of trees on $\omega^n.$



Analytic sets are formed from the projection of the closed sets. Σ_1^1 is those analytic sets generated from computable trees.

J.Holshouser

Calibrating the Size of Complicated Quotients

4 / 19

• $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is κ -Suslin if there is a tree T on $\omega^n \times \kappa$ so that A = p[T] where

 $p[T] = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\exists f \in \kappa^{\omega}) (\forall k) [(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}, f)|_k \in T] \}.$

- A is co- κ -Suslin if $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$ is κ -Suslin.
- All analytic sets are ω -Suslin.
- Using the axiom of choice, all sets of reals are 2^{\aleph_0} -Suslin.
- This definition is a form of definability when a set is $\kappa\text{-Suslin}$ for $\kappa<2^{\aleph_0}.$

L.Harrington-S.Shelah(1980)

If *E* is a co- κ -Suslin equivalence on \mathbb{R} and *E* is still an equivalence relation after Cohen-forcing, then either \mathbb{R}/E embeds into κ or \mathbb{R} embeds into \mathbb{R}/E .

This proof uses infinitary logic as its main tool. A collection of statements controlled by L[T] replaces Σ_1^1 .

- *E* is still an equivalence relation after Cohen-forcing if *E* is inside of a universe ZF plus the axiom of determinacy.
- Universes that satisfy the axiom of choice and have large cardinals contain universes of determinacy
- Suslin sets naturally occur in those universes of determinacy.

L.Harrington-S.Shelah(1980)

Suppose E is a co- κ -Suslin equivalence on \mathbb{R} and E is in a universe of ZF plus the axiom of determinacy. Then in that universe, either

- \mathbb{R}/E embeds into κ or
- \mathbb{R} embeds into \mathbb{R}/E .

These choices are mutually exclusive.

This proof uses infinitary logic as its main tool. A collection of statements controlled by L[T] replaces Σ_1^1 .

- *E* is still an equivalence relation after Cohen-forcing if *E* is inside of a universe ZF plus the axiom of determinacy.
- Universes that satisfy the axiom of choice and have large cardinals contain universes of determinacy
- Suslin sets naturally occur in those universes of determinacy.

A.Caicedo-R.Ketchersid(2011)

Suppose *E* is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} and *E* is in a universe of ZF plus the axiom of determinacy. Then in that universe, either

- \mathbb{R}/E is well-orderable, or
- $\mathbb R$ embeds into $\mathbb R/{\it E}$ and $\mathbb R/{\it E}$ embeds into 2^α for some ordinal $\alpha,$ or
- \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} embeds into \mathbb{R}/E .

These choices are mutually exclusive.

This proof replaces uses a combination of techniques from inner model theory and determinacy.

The Full Trichotomy from Determinacy



J.Holshouser

- The Harrington-Shelah theorem doesn't have the full trichotomy.
- The Caicedo-Ketchersid result isn't as specific as it could be.
- The proof methods are extremely varied.

Goal: Prove the full trichotomy while retaining specificity and coherence of proof technique.

Definition

The infinity Borel codes are built up recursively, and so are their interpretations. Let $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a basis for the topology on \mathbb{R} .

• If
$$c = \langle 0, n \rangle$$
, then $A_c := U_n$,

• if
$$c=\langle 1,\langle c_eta:eta, then $A_{c}:=igcap_{eta,$$$

• if
$$c = \langle 2, d \rangle$$
, then A_c is the complement of A_d .

The collection of infinity Borel codes is denoted \mathcal{B}_{∞} .

- Code length countable \implies Borel
- " $A_c = \emptyset$ " and " $A_c \subseteq A_d$ " are only absolute for countable length codes.

The Pointclass

Suppose T is a tree whose nodes are finite strings from $\omega^n \times \kappa$. Let α be the least admissible ordinal for the structure $L_{\alpha}[T]$.

Definition

A code *c* is in Γ iff $c = \langle 1, \langle c_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha \rangle \rangle$ where

•
$$\{c_eta:eta, and$$

•
$$\{c_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}$$
 is $\Sigma_1(L_{\alpha}[T])$ definable.

 Γ corresponds to Σ_1^1 and $\mathcal{B}_{\infty} \cap L_{\alpha}[T]$ corresponds to Δ_1^1 .

Proposition

 Γ is "closed" under finite unions, $\Sigma_1(L_{\alpha}[T])$ intersections, Cartesian products, and projections. Γ also contains a code for p[T].

Pointclass Properties

Definition

• For $c \in \Gamma$, say c is **consistent** iff $A_c \neq \emptyset$ after collapsing κ to ω .

• For $c, d \in \Gamma$, say $c \leq d$ iff $A_c \subseteq A_d$ after collapsing κ to ω .

For $c, d \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty} \cap L_{\alpha}[\mathcal{T}]$, these are $\Sigma_1(L_{\alpha}[\mathcal{T}])$ statements.

Barwise Compactness

Let $c \in \Gamma$. If c is inconsistent, then there is a $\beta < \alpha$ so that $\langle 1, \langle c_{\gamma} : \gamma < \beta \rangle \rangle$ is inconsistent. In particular, if c is a descending intersection, then some c_{β} is inconsistent.

Barwise Completeness

Let $c \in \Gamma$. Then $\{d \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty} \cap L_{\alpha}[T] : c \leq d\}$ is a $\Sigma_1(L_{\alpha}[T])$ subset of $L_{\alpha}[T]$.

A Semantic Proof of the Harrington-Shelah Theorem

- Start with E a co- κ -Suslin equivalence relation.
- See if the code for the intersection of the B_∞ ∩ L_α[T] sets which span multiple equivalence classes is consistent.
- If it is inconsistent, then $\mathcal{B}_{\infty} \cap L_{\alpha}[T]$ maps onto \mathbb{R}/E and so \mathbb{R}/E is countable.
- If is consistent, note that this code is in Γ. Force with the codes in Γ below it.
- The generics for this forcing correspond to reals. Distinct generic reals are *E*-inequivalent.
- Build a perfect tree of generics. This embeds \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R}/E .

A.Caicedo-R.Ketchersid(2011)

Suppose *E* is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} and *E* is in a universe of ZF plus the axiom of determinacy. Then in that universe, either

- \mathbb{R}/E is well-orderable, or
- \mathbb{R} embeds into \mathbb{R}/E and \mathbb{R}/E embeds into 2^{α} for some ordinal α , or
- \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} embeds into \mathbb{R}/E .

These choices are mutually exclusive.

J. Holshouser

Suppose *E* is a κ -Suslin and co- κ -Suslin equivalence relation, and *E* is in a universe of ZF plus the axiom of determinacy. Then in that universe, either

- \mathbb{R}/E embeds into κ , or
- \mathbb{R} embeds into \mathbb{R}/E and \mathbb{R}/E embeds into 2^{κ} , or
- \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} embeds into \mathbb{R}/E .

These choices are mutually exclusive.

- Start with E a κ and co-κ-Suslin equivalence relation, witnessed by T and T'.
- Generate an approximating equivalence relation: x Ẽy iff x and y are in the same E-invariant B_∞ ∩ L_α[T, T'] sets.
- If $\tilde{E} = E$, then there is a map $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to 2^{\kappa}$ so that $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ iff *xEy*.
- Otherwise the difference between \tilde{E} and E is in Γ . Force below this.
- The generics for this forcing correspond to reals. Distinct generic reals are *E*-inequivalent.
- Build a link-structure of generics. This embeds \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} into \mathbb{R}/E .

- Is $\Gamma = \Sigma_1^1$ for T a computable tree?
- Can Γ be used to figure out what kind of subset of 2^κ that \mathbb{R}/E looks like?
- Can Γ be used to extend other known dichotomies for Borel equivalence relations?
- If Γ is used as a basis for a topology, what properties does that topology have?

Thanks for Listening